1	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099 (pro hac vice)		
2	tboutrous@gibsondunn.com	,	
۷	CATHERINE A. CONWAY, SBN 98366 (pro hac vice)		
3	cconway@gibsondunn.com JESSE A. CRIPPS, SBN 222285 (pro hac vice)		
4	jcripps@gibsondunn.com		
4	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP		
5	333 South Grand Avenue		
	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197		
6	Telephone: 213.229.7000		
7	Facsimile: 213.229.7520		
8	SARAH ZENEWICZ, SBN 258068 (pro hac vice)		
0	szenewicz@gibsondunn.com		
9	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP		
10	555 Mission Street, Suite 300		
	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.393.8200		
11	Facsimile: 415.393.8306		
12	(additional counsel on signature page)		
	(
13	Attorneys for Defendants		
14	BLOOMIN' BRANDS, INC.; OSI RESTAURANT PAR		
	OF FLORIDA, LLC; OS RESTAURANT SERVICES, L	LC.	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
17			
4.0	BROOKE CARDOZA, CODY C. HANCOCK,	CASE NO. 2:13-cv-01820-JAD-(NJK)	
18	MICHAEL YENDES, JEFFERY BROWN, KEVIN CONNELLEY, TREVOR TULLIS, DENISE	DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR	
19	GOODLIN, JOSEPH VERRENGIA, AMY	JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF	
	WOMACK, VALERIE GARDNER, ALEX NESBITT,	DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO	
20	DANIEL GEIGER, RACHEL TALASKO, and	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR (1)	
21	WESLEY MILES, Individually and On Behalf of All	CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION OF	
	Others Similarly Situated,	COLLECTIVE ACTION; (2)	
22	DI 1 1 100	APPROVAL OF CLASS NOTICE; (3)	
23	Plaintiffs,	APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM CLASS	
23	V.	COUNSEL; AND (4) RELATED RELIEF	
24	BLOOMIN' BRANDS, INC.; OSI RESTAURANT	REDIEF	
25	PARTNERS, LLC; OUTBACK STEAKHOUSE OF		
دے	FLORIDA, LLC; OS RESTAURANT SERVICES,	Action Filed: October 4, 2013	
26	LLC, And DOES 5 through 100, Inclusive,		
27	Defendants.		
	Detellidants.		
28			

2 3

4

5

6 7

9

8

11

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Crutcher LLP

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

In support of their Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for (1) Conditional Certification of Collective Action; (2) Approval of Class Notice; (3) Appointment of Interim Class Counsel; and (4) Related Relief (hereafter "Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Conditional Certification of Collective Action") and pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Defendants Bloomin' Brands, Inc.; OSI Restaurant Partners, LLC; Outback Steakhouse of Florida, LLC; OS Restaurant Services, LLC (collectively, the "Defendants") respectfully request that this Court take judicial notice of the following websites and public statements contained therein made by Plaintiffs' counsel to advertise this lawsuit:

- 1. A January 2, 2014, status update on Facebook advertising the filing of the First Amended Complaint, informing the public that the operative complaint now includes state-law class action claims, and encouraging employees to call Plaintiffs' counsel with their wage and hour complaints against Outback Steakhouse. See Wolf Rifkin Shapiro Schulman & Rabkin – Las Vegas Office, Wolf Rifkin Shapiro Schulman & Rabkin Files Amended Complaint Against Outback Steakhouse, Adding State-Law Class Action Claims, FACEBOOK (Jan. 2, 2014), https://www.facebook.com/pages/Wolf-Rifkin-Shapiro-Schulman-Rabkin-Las-Vegas-Office/190497391135073?ref=stream (a true and correct copy of which is attached to the supporting Declaration of Jesse A. Cripps);
- 2. An October 10, 2013 status update on Facebook advertising the filing of the original complaint against the Defendants and directing viewers to the outbacklawsuit.com website by adding a link thereto. See Wolf Rifkin Shapiro Schulman & Rabkin – Las Vegas Office, Outback Steakhouse Faces Federal Wage-And-Hour Lawsuit Alleging Failure to Pay Employees, FACEBOOK (Oct. 10, 2013), https://www.facebook.com/pages/Wolf-Rifkin-Shapiro-Schulman-Rabkin-Las-Vegas-Office/190497391135073?ref=stream (a true and correct copy of which is attached to the supporting Declaration of Jesse A. Cripps);
- 3. A press release from Plaintiffs' counsel informing the public of the filing of this lawsuit against Defendants, directing viewers to the outbacklawsuit.com website and the Plaintiffs' counsel's firm website, and encouraging employees to call Plaintiffs' counsel with their wage

and hour complaints against Outback Steakhouse. *See* PRNewswire, Outback Steakhouse Faces Federal Wage-And-Hour Lawsuit Alleging Failure To Pay Employees, According To WRSS&R, (Oct. 10, 2013), http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/outback-steakhouse-faces-federal-wage-and-hour-lawsuit-alleging-failure-to-pay-employees-according-to-wrssr-226767241.html (a true and correct copy of which is attached to the supporting Declaration of Jesse A. Cripps);

- 4. An article by Plaintiffs' counsel on a web site named outbacklawsuit.com—undoubtedly created specifically for this litigation—describing Plaintiffs' allegations and encouraging viewers to call Plaintiffs' counsel to determine whether they are eligible to join this lawsuit. See Wolf Rifkin Shapiro Shulman & Rabkin LLP, Outback Steakhouse Faces Federal Wage-And-Hour Lawsuit Alleging Failure to Pay Employees, www.outbacklawsuit.com (last visited on August 27, 2014) (a true and correct copy of which is attached to the supporting Declaration of Jesse A. Cripps);¹
- 5. An news article in which Plaintiffs' counsel Bradley Schrager, on or around October 7, 2013, in a publicly-reported interview with Sunbelt Food Service admitted that the allegations in this case about "Outback Time" are not based on any written policy: "Outback Time' is probably a practice that is left unspoken" and "I don't think (Outback Time is) the sort of thing you necessarily put on an employee handbook." *See* Heather Blount, *Lawsuit Calls Outback Labor Policies 'Just Wrong'*, SUNBELT FS (Oct. 7, 2013)
 http://www.sunbeltfoodservice.com/2013/10/07/lawsuit-calls-outback-labor-policies-just-wrong/ (a true and correct copy of which is attached to the supporting Declaration of Jesse A. Cripps).

As Defendants previously informed the Court, Plaintiffs removed a series of misleading statements from their website after these statements were brought to Plaintiffs' attention on February 20, 2014. *See* ECF No. 100 at 5; *see also* ECF No. 100-2.

14

15

10

22

20

27 28

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

The relevancy of the first four statements and/or websites is discussed in Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Conditional Certification of Collective Action, Summary of Relevant Facts, section "I. The Conflicting Declarations of Sara Post."

The statements described in item number 5 above are directly relevant to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Conditional Certification of Collective Action, and underscores the fact that Plaintiffs do not purport to rely on any written corporate policy or directive. By holding out Plaintiffs' claims as based on "unspoken" practices, it is clear that Plaintiffs have not and cannot plausibly show that all restaurant managers and decision-makers were uniformly and systematically operating under the same written policy.

The websites and the statements contained therein that are the subject of this request for judicial notice satisfy the criteria set out for judicial notice pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b)(2). The court may take judicial notice of the undisputed statements made by Plaintiffs' counsel on these websites because they are being introduced to show the information Plaintiffs' counsel put into the public realm, rather than for the underlying truth of that information. Von Saher v. Norton Simon Museum of Art at Pasadena, 592 F.3d 954, 960 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[c]ourts may take judicial notice of publications introduced to 'indicate what was in the public realm at the time, not whether the contents of those articles were in fact true") (citation omitted). See also Matthews v. Nat'l Football League Mgmt. Council, 688 F.3d 1107, 1113 (9th Cir. 2012) (taking judicial notice of statistics available on the NFL's website); O'Toole v. Nothrop Grumman, 499 F.3d 1218, 1225 (10th Cir. 2007) ("It is not uncommon for courts to take judicial notice of factual information found on the world wide web"); U.S. v. Kane, No. 2:13-CR-250-JAD-VCF, 2013 WL 5797619, at *11 (D. Nev. Oct. 28, 2013) (taking judicial notice of the fact that defendant held itself out as a particular organization on its website). These websites are reliable because they were created and maintained by Plaintiffs' counsel themselves, who cannot reasonably deny information that they have posted on their own websites. A court shall "take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information." Fed. R. Evid. 201(c)(2).

For the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that, when considering their Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Conditional Certification of Collective Action, this Court take

Case 2:13-cv-01820-JAD-NJK Document 135 Filed 08/29/14 Page 5 of 6

1	judicial notice of the public statements Plaintiffs' counsel made in the websites referenced herein and		
2	attached hereto.		
3			
4	Dated: August 29, 2014	Respectfully submitted,	
5		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
6		By: /s/ Jesse A. Cripps	
7		Jesse A. Cripps	
8		JOHN H. COTTON, SBN 5268	
9		jhcotton@jhcottonlaw.com JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.	
10		7900 W. Sahara, Suite 200	
		Las Vegas, NV 89117	
11		Telephone: 702.832.5909 Facsimile: 702.832.5910	
12			
13		F. THOMAS EDWARDS, SBN 9549	
14		tedwards@nevadafirm.com HOLLEY, DRIGGS, WALCH, PUZEY &	
		THOMPSON	
15		400 South 4th, Third Floor	
16		Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: 702.791.0308	
17		Facsimile: 702.791.1912	
18		Attorneys for Bloomin' Brands, Inc.; OSI Restaurant	
19		Partners, LLC; Outback Steakhouse of Florida, LLC; OS Restaurant Services, LLC	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		5	

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 29th day of August, 2014, a true and correct copy of

DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR (1) CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION OF COLLECTIVE ACTION; (2) APPROVAL OF CLASS NOTICE; (3) APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL; AND (4) RELATED RELIEF

was served via United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or persons requiring notice.

By:

Daisy Sanchez, an Employee of

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP